

REMARKS - GENERAL

The specification has been amended editorially to correct those errors noted by the examiner. Claims 5 to 8 have been rewritten according to examiner's suggestions to more particularly define the invention in a patentable manner over the cited prior art. Claim 9 should be regarded as an independent claim. The applicant has consulted with a patent agent as recommended by the examiner.

1. Objection To The SPECIFICATION Under 35 U.S.C. 132.

The specification was objected under the above paragraph and has been corrected by the applicant in a manner recommended by the examiner. The new matter has been deleted and typo errors have been corrected.

2. All the CLAIMS have been rewritten in order to reflect the Examiner's objections and to maintain consistency; to correct typo errors and to avoid lacking of antecedent basis in claims. The claims have been rewritten according to the examiner's suggestions. Claim 9 should be considered independent.